

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/471,703	MERENKOVA, IRENA N.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jehanne E Souaya	1634	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Jehanne E Souaya.

(2) Carl Bozicevic.

**Date of Interview:** 2 October 2003

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*71, 76*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*na*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Non final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

Jehanne Souaya  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that corrections needed to be made to claims 71 and 76 for antecedent basis purposes and that such would not affect the scope of the claims. In claim 71, the term "site" needed to be inserted following the word "polymorphic" in line 3. In claim 76, the word "reaction" needed to be changed to "primer extension" to agree with claim 69. Applicant's attorney authorized such changes in an examiner's amendment..